

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,935	03/26/2004	Yo Tsurumi	. 59558.00022	59558.00022 6426		
32294	7590 10/04/2	6	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SA	ANDERS & DEM	HOLMES,	HOLMES, JUSTIN K			
	RS CRESCENT	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			3681			

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/809,935	TSURUMI, YO
Examiner	Art Unit
Justin K. Holmes	3681

	Justin K. Holmes	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHI <b>N</b>
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 6, 12 and 14 as stated in the O. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 4, 7-11, 13, 15.	vided below or appended.  Flice Action dated May 15, 2006.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
			·

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The response adds further limitations to the claim 1, namely, that the middle shaft is arranged at a right angle to the input shaft, and this new limitation changes the scope of the claim and raises new issues and would require a new and updated search.

CHARLES A. MARMOR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'

VIDE LIVIL 3P8